Regional differences in vertebral shape along the axial skeleton in caecilians (Amphibia: Gymnophiona)
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Abstract
Caecilians are elongate, limbless and annulated amphibians that, as far as is known, all have an at least partly fossorial lifestyle. It has been suggested that elongate limbless vertebrates show little morphological differentiation throughout the postcranial skeleton. However, relatively few studies have explored the axial skeleton in limbless tetrapods. In this study, we used μCT data and three-dimensional geometric morphometrics to explore regional differences in vertebral shape across a broad range of caecilian species. Our results highlight substantial differences in vertebral shape along the axial skeleton, with anterior vertebrae being short and bulky, whereas posterior vertebrae are more elongated. This study shows that despite being limbless, elongate tetrapods such as caecilians still show regional heterogeneity in the shape of individual vertebrae along the vertebral column. Further studies are needed, however, to understand the possible causes and functional consequences of the observed variation in vertebral shape in caecilians.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Caecilians (Gymnophiona) are a small (just over 200 currently recognized species) monophyletic group of elongate, totally limbless, and annulated amphibians (Pough et al., 1998; Taylor, 1968). Because most caecilians are fossorial, inconspicuous, and rarely encountered components of tropical ecosystems, many aspects of their biology remain poorly known (O’Reilly, 2000; Summers & O’Reilly, 1997; Wilkinson, 2012). Although their cranial osteology has been relatively well documented (e.g., Bardua et al., 2019; Lowie et al., 2021; Sherratt et al., 2014; Wake, 1993; Wilkinson & Nussbaum, 1997), few studies have focused on the vertebral morphology of adult extant caecilians (e.g., Peter, 1894; Renous et al., 1993; Renous & Gasc, 1989; Taylor, 1977; Wake, 1980; Wiedersheim, 1879). In general, vertebrate axial skeletons are not a homogenous series of vertebrae and two types of morphological variation have been documented in previous studies: regionalization and heterogeneity (Jones et al., 2018). Whereas regionalization defines the number of regions along the vertebral column and relies on the expression of Homeobox genes (Head & Polly, 2015), heterogeneity defines the degree of morphological disparity observed among regions (Jones et al., 2018). Although these two types of axial differentiation evolve independently, both increased during synapsid evolution and led to well-defined vertebral regions in mammals (Jones et al., 2018). This combination of morphologically specialized vertebrae and regionalization allowed mammals to become functionally specialized for locomotion (Jones et al., 2020).

Although regionalization is also present in elongate limbless taxa without girdles such as snakes (Head & Polly, 2015), they show little heterogeneity compared to mammals (e.g., Polly et al., 2001; Sherratt & Sanders, 2019). Lawson (1963) moreover suggested that there was no regional variation across the vertebral column in the caecilian Hypogeophis rostratus. Generally, the small number of distinct regions and lack of morphological disparity in the vertebral column of elongate limbless tetrapods has been considered suggestive of a reduction in specialization and the presence of a functional conservatism. However, Wake (1980) identified gradual regional differences in the vertebrae of three caecilian species. Renous and Gasc (1989) further documented different vertebral types in different caecilians, ranging from extremely stout to thread-like, but did not explore variation within the axial skeleton. They did suggest, however, that the variation in stoutness of the body and vertebrae was associated with differences in locomotor types and substrate use (Renous et al., 1993; Renous & Gasc, 1989).

The goal of the present study was to describe vertebral shape variation across a broad range of caecilians using 3D geometric morphometric approaches. Despite their rather uniform bauplan, we hypothesize that shape variation may exist along vertebral column which could be indicative of regionalization and/or vertebral heterogeneity. Additionally, we assess the morphological disparity of the vertebrae along the vertebral column.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Specimens

Based on vertebral anatomy, with the exception of a cervical region, no region can be precisely identified in all caecilians (Wake, 1980). Caecilians globally consist of an atlas followed by 67 (Hypogeophis pti Maddock et al., 2017) to 306 (Oscaecilia cf. bassleri [MW pers. Obs.]) trunk vertebrae with no sacrum and either a short or no tail (Dunn, 1942; Maddock et al., 2017; Nussbaum & Wilkinson, 1989).

To be able to compare vertebral shape across species that differ in the number of vertebrae, we followed Wake (1980) in selecting six vertebrae: the atlas (V1), the second vertebra (V2), the third vertebra (V3), and the vertebrae at 20% (hereafter referred to as V20%), 60% (hereafter referred to as V60%) and 90% (hereafter referred to as V90%) of the total number of vertebrae. This selection was made under the assumption that all caecilians included in our study have a sufficiently similar vertebral organization. For the atlas (V1), 83 individuals from 28 species belonging to nine of the 10 currently recognized families were included in the dataset. Only the most recently discovered family, Chikilidae, morphologically close to the African Herpelidae, is missing. For the five other vertebrae of interest, the dataset was subsampled to 57 individuals from 24 species based on the availability of whole-body µCT scans of high resolution (Table 1). Our sample was restricted to adults and included both males and females. Although some sexual dimorphism is present in caecilians (e.g., Kupfer, 2009; Maerker et al., 2016), interspecific variation largely exceeds the sex-specific variation (Sherratt et al., 2014). Specimens were obtained primarily from our personal collections and completed with specimens from museum collections (Table S1).

2.2 | Micro-computed tomography imaging

For this study, CT scans of different species were used (Table S1). About half of these scans were performed at the Centre for X-Ray Tomography at Ghent University, Belgium (UGCT, www.ugct.ugent.be) using the HECTOR micro-computed tomography (µCT) scanner (Masschaele et al., 2013). The scanner settings were sample dependent. The tube voltage varied between 100 and 120kV and the amount of X-ray projections taken over 360° was typically about 2000 per scan. Additional µCT scans were obtained.
TABLE 1 Details of specimens used in this study with family, species names, and number of individuals (N) for each dataset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>N Atlas</th>
<th>N Vertebrae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhinatrematida</td>
<td>Epicrionops bicolor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rhinatrematida bivittatum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ichthyophiida</td>
<td>Ichthyophis bombayensis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ichthyophis kohtaoensis</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uraeotyphlus oxyurus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scolecomorphida</td>
<td>Scolecomorphus kirkii</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Scolecomorphus uluguruensis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpetida</td>
<td>Boulengerula bouengeri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boulengerula fischeri</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Boulengerula taitanus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Herpele squalostoma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caeciidae</td>
<td>Caecilia museugoeldi</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caecilia tentaculata</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typhlonectida</td>
<td>Atretochaona eiselti</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Potomotyphlus kaupii</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Typhlonectes compressicaua</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Typhlonectes natans</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indotyphlida</td>
<td>Gegeneophis ramoswamii</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Grandisonia alternans</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypogeophis rostratus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sylvacaecilia grandisonae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphonopida</td>
<td>Microcaecilia unicolor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mimosiphonops vermiculatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siphonops annulatus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermophiida</td>
<td>Dermophis mexicanus</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geotrypetes seraphini</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schistometopus gregori</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Schistometopus thomense</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from the online repository, Morphosource (morphosource.org), the Zoological Museum Hamburg (see Kleinteich et al., 2008 for scanner settings), the Royal Museum of Central Africa (75 kV, 1440 projections), and the Natural History Museum, London (100 kV, 3142 projections; see Table S1). The isotropic voxel size of all scans is listed in Table S1. All the μCT scans were processed using both automatic thresholding and manual segmentation to reconstruct the vertebrae in 3D using Amira 2019.3 (Visage Imaging). Using Geomagic Wrap (3D systems), surfaces were prepared by removing highly creased edges and spikes that may interfere with the placement of landmarks.

2.3 | 3D Geometric Morphometrics

All the anatomical landmarks were placed by the same person (A.L.) using Stratovan Checkpoint (Stratovan corporation, v; 2020.10.13.0859). Nineteen homologous landmarks were placed on each atlas, whereas 22 homologous landmarks were placed on the other vertebrae included in our analyses (Figures S1, S2; Tables S2, S3). Because the atlas is morphologically and functionally very different from all other vertebrae, it was treated separately while all the other vertebrae were included in a second dataset. Generalized Procrustes analyses (GPA) were performed on each dataset (atlas and other vertebrae) using the ‘gpagen’ function in the geomorph R package v 3.3.1 (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=geomorph). Finally, prior to the analyses, asymmetry was removed from the datasets by extracting the symmetric component of shape variation using the ‘bilat.symmetry’ function of the geomorph package.

2.4 | Phylogeny

Because vertebral data for species are expected to be phylogenetically structured and thus not statistically independent, phylogeny was taken into account in our comparative analyses (Felsenstein, 1985). The phylogenetic tree of Jetz and Pyron (2018) was pruned to only include the species used in our study. Using 10,000 trees from Vertlife.org, the maximum credibility tree (Figure S3) was computed using the ‘maxCladeCred’ function in the phangorn package in R (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=phangorn).

2.5 | Statistical analyses

All the statistical analyses were performed in R version 4.0.3 (http://www.R-project.org/). The significance threshold (Type I error rate) was set at \( \alpha = 0.05 \).

To assess the impact of size on shape, we performed a Procrustes regression on the means of the GPA coordinates per species using the ‘procD.pgl s’ function from the geomorph package. The log_{10} centroid size was used as a proxy for size. Residuals for each vertebra were then computed and further referred to as allometry-free shapes, allowing us to examine shape variation not attributable to allometry.

To visualize the evolutionary patterns of shape variation in the vertebrae across the whole body, and to assess the heterogeneity of the axial skeleton, we performed Principal Component Analyses (PCA) on the allometry-corrected shapes using the ‘gm.prcomp’ function from the geomorph package. First, a global PCA including all the vertebrae, except the atlas was performed. Then, a PCA was performed for each vertebra separately. Next, phylomorphospaces were obtained by projecting estimated ancestral states for phylogenetic nodes and phylogenetic branches onto the morphospaces (Sidlauskas, 2008). Additionally, trajectories between vertebrae
were added on the PCA plot to better observe how species differ in the pattern of heterogeneity along the axial skeleton.

We then tested whether the shape of the vertebrae differed depending on its position along the body (grouping variable) using a multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) with the log₁₀ of the centroid size as the co-variate. A pairwise comparison was then performed to explore which group differed from one another using the 'pairwise' function from the RRPP package with the distance between vectors as our method. The same function was used with the variance as a method to estimate morphological disparity and perform pairwise comparisons among groups.

A canonical variate analysis (CVA) maximizing the among-group variation was performed on the vertebral shapes using the 'CVA' function from the Morpho package v.2.8. (https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Morpho). This analysis was used to visualize the variation among groups (V2 to V90%) by using the mean shapes for each group of vertebrae. Prior to the CVA, a test for multivariate normality, Box's M-test, classifier analysis, and confusion matrix analysis with a Jackknife procedure were performed to confirm that assumptions of the CVA were met. Additionally, we explored the Procrustes variance of each group (V1 to V90%) of vertebrae using the 'morphol.disparity' function from the geomorph package. We used the log₁₀ of the centroid size as the co-variate and the vertebral number as our factor. The heterogeneity of the vertebral column across caecilians was assessed by comparing the variance of the sum of the PC-scores per species. Additionally, the morphological disparity within the vertebral column for each species was assessed. To do so, the log₁₀ of the centroid size was used as the co-variate and species as group factor.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Shape allometry

The Procrustes regression of shape on log-transformed centroid size revealed that only a small proportion of the vertebral shape variation was associated with size variation (V1: 6%, p = 0.11; V2: 7%, p = 0.001; V3: 10%, p = 0.001; V20%: 13%, p = 0.001; V60%: 9%, p = 0.001; V90%: 8%, p = 0.006).

3.2 | Global axes of shape variation

The morphological space of the post-atlantal vertebrae described by the first three principal components (PCs) explained 80% of the total allometry-free shape variation. Each of the subsequent PCs explained
less than 5% of the variation. PC1, explaining 62.5% of the total variation, describes the antero-posterior variation along the axial skeleton with positive values corresponding to terminal vertebrae and negative values to the most anterior vertebrae. The anterior vertebrae are characterized by an antero-posterior compression and by being dorsoventrally taller. The posterior side of the neural arch and the postzygapophyses are relatively large, whereas basapophyseal processes are strongly reduced in the most anterior vertebrae. The most posterior vertebrae are antero-posteriorly elongated and dorsoventrally compressed with long basapophyseal processes (Figure 1).

Unlike PC1, the 13.2% of shape variation explained by PC2 does not reflect regionalization, but rather corresponds to variation in the length of the basapophyseal processes. Negative values are associated with shorter and bulkier vertebrae with long basapophyseal processes. Positive values represent elongate vertebrae with reduced basapophyseal processes (Figure 1).

### 3.3 | Principal axes of shape variation across vertebral positions

Only the two first principal components showing most of the variation were used to describe shape variation in caecilians as each of the subsequent PCs explains less than 10% of the variation.

#### 3.4 | V1

Unlike other vertebrae, V1 (the atlas) possesses large atlantal cotyles for the articulation with occipital condyles of the skull. The first principal component, accounting for 35.9% of the total variation, mainly explains the posterior elongation of the neural arch bearing the postzygapophyses. On the positive extreme of PC1, the dorsal side of the vertebrae is shortened with horizontal postzygapophyses in...
a relatively low position on the vertebra. Additionally, positive PC1 values are associated with a widening and a dorso-ventral flattening of the atlas. On the negative extreme, the dorsal face of the atlas is antero-posteriorly elongated with prezygapophyses being more dorsally positioned (Figure 2). The second principal component (15.9%) corresponds to an antero-dorsal compression, especially at the level of the vertebral centrum. On the positive extreme are found atlases with a very short centrum. Moreover, the anterior lateral sides of the neural arch are concave, resulting in a bigger opening of the neural canal and flatter atlantal cotyles. High PC1 scores also correspond to a pointed anterior rim of the neural arch. Toward the minimum extreme, centrum is longer with more curved atlantal cotyles and the anterior rim of the neural arch is flat (Figure 2).

3.5 | V2

The first principal component accounting for 35.5% of the total shape variation explained variation in the length of the vertebrae. Negative PC1 scores correspond to slender and elongated vertebrae, whereas vertebrae toward the positive extreme are bulkier (Figure 3). Vertebrae associated with positive values on PC2 (21.7%) show dorso-laterally directed pre- and postzygapophyses with a slight elongation of the basapophyseal processes. On the minimum extreme, pre- and postzygapophyses are more horizontal relative to the vertebrae and the basapophyseal processes are absent. Additionally, the most antero-dorsal point of the neural arch is dorsally stretched resulting in a bigger opening of the neural canal (Figure 3).

3.6 | V3

PC1 (36.3%) explains variation in the length of the vertebrae, with antero-dorsally compressed vertebrae being positioned toward the positive extreme and elongate vertebrae toward the negative side (Figure 4). PC2 (20.4%) explains the curvature of the ventral hypapophyseal keel and the direction of the prezygapophyses. Positive values are associated with horizontal prezygapophyses and a horizontal hypapophyseal keel. Negatives values are associated with dorsally curved prezygapophyses with a strongly curved hypapaphyseal keel. A decrease in the relative horizontal distance between the basapophyseal processes is also observed in species with low PC2 scores (Figure 4).
As for V3, PC1 (37.8%) explains variation in the length of the vertebrae. Negative values are associated with shorter and taller vertebrae (Figure 5). The negative extreme of PC2 (18.9%) corresponds to slender vertebrae with more dorsally directed pre- and postzygapophyses, longer basapophyseal processes, a curved hypapophyseal keel and a ventro-posteriorly elongated hypapophysis. Positive values represent vertebrae with more horizontal pre- and postzygapophyses, reduced basapophyseal processes, and a more horizontal ventral hypapophyseal keel (Figure 5).

As for V60%, PC1 (49.2%) explains variation in the length of the vertebrae. Negative values are associated with shorter and taller vertebrae. Positive values are associated with slender and elongate vertebrae (Figure 6). The 12.7% of shape variation explained by PC2 mainly correspond to variation in the length of the basapophyseal processes. Negative values are associated with long basapophyseal processes. Positive values represent vertebrae with reduced basapophyseal processes (Figure 6).

As for V90%, PC1 (48.5%) explains variation in the length of the vertebrae. Negative values are associated with shorter and taller vertebrae. Positive values are associated with slender and elongate vertebrae (Figure 7). The 14.9% of shape variation explained by PC2 mainly corresponds to shape variation around the cotyles of the vertebrae. Positive values are associated with wider, more open cotyles, whereas negative values are associated with more dorso-ventrally compressed, and thus smaller, cotyles. Additionally, the width of the dorso-posterior side of the neural arch and the basapophyseal processes are reduced, and the pre- and postzygapophyses are dorsally directed on the minimum extreme of PC2 (Figure 7).
3.10 | Vertebrae shape variation

The MANCOVA detected a highly significant effect of vertebral position on vertebral shape ($R^2 = 0.32, p = 0.001$). The pairwise comparisons based on the distance method showed a significant difference between all the vertebrae except between V60% and V90% (Table 2).

The morphological disparity observed across the vertebrae shows that V2 has the highest disparity (Procrustes variance = 0.01), followed by V90% (Procrustes variance = 0.007). The disparity of V3 and V20% was similar (Procrustes variance = 0.006) and the lowest disparity was observed for V60% (Procrustes variance = 0.005). The pairwise comparison between groups shows that the disparity only differed significantly between V2 and V3, V2 and V20%, and V2 and V60% (Table 2). The morphological disparity was slightly higher for V1 and V2 (respectively, 0.014 and 0.01) than for the rest of the vertebrae (V3, V20% and V60%; 0.006; V90%; 0.007).

The results of the canonical variate analysis showed a good discrimination between groups with an overall classification accuracy of 97% (Figure S4). The cross-validation table showed that V2, V3, and V20% were always successfully classified, whereas V60% was sometimes misclassified as a V20% or a V90%. The V90% was also sometimes misclassified as a V60% (Table 3).

3.11 | Heterogeneity

The variance observed for the three first PC axes differs across species (Figure 8) but show no obvious differences related to ecology, number of vertebrae or phylogenetic affinity. Along the same lines, the morphological disparity observed among species shows no clear trend other than the unusually high disparity observed for S. annulatus (Figure 8). Trajectories between vertebrae are also quite similar across species (Figure S5).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our results confirm previous findings that vertebral shape varies along the axial skeleton in caecilians, yet mostly in the cervical region (Peter, 1894; Wake, 1980, 1977; Wiedersheim, 1879). The principal component analyses and the canonical variate analysis show that vertebrae differ along the vertebral column, despite some similarities observed between V60% and V90%. Globally, anterior vertebrae are antero-posteriorly compressed, whereas posterior vertebrae are elongated and possess long basapophyseal processes. These results suggest that, despite a uniform bauplan generally observed in elongate limbless tetrapods, vertebral shape variation can be observed in caecilians.

4.1 | Anterior vertebrae

The shorter vertebrae found in the anterior region likely provide an increased cumulative angle of rotation important during head movements, whereas their robust morphology likely provides more resistance against the external loads incurred during burrowing.
To burrow into the ground, caecilians use a combination of hydrostatic and internal concertina locomotion. These locomotor modes rely on the stiffness of the anterior part of the body while being forcefully pushed forward by the bent and anchored posterior part (Gaymer, 1971; O’Reilly et al., 1997). Robust, bulky anterior vertebrae are thus likely shaped to resist high pressures. Observations on caecilians suggest that the positioning of the head before the initial penetration is an important factor (Kleinteich et al., 2012). Moreover, as the posterior part of the body is not yet into the burrow during the initial penetration of the soil, the anterior part of the body may be critical to widen the tunnel (Gans, 1973). A succession of short vertebrae could allow to fine-tune the angle of the head prior to the initial penetration as well as giving more flexibility to widen the tunnel. Moreover, the robustness of the nuchal region likely provides additional surface area for the insertion of the pars nuchalis of the m. obliquus externus is involved in the flexion of the cervical region (Wilkinson & Nussbaum, 1997). Anterior vertebrae also show a pronounced hypapophyseal keel gradually reducing in size toward the posterior end of the body. The hypapophyseal keel is the site of insertion of the basapophyseal muscles (Naylor & Nussbaum, 1980). These muscles originating on the basapophyseal processes of the preceding vertebra likely play a role in the flexibility of the vertebral column and their contraction may produce lateral movements between adjacent vertebrae. The head and the anterior part of the body are also implied in other functions such as food capture and processing. Apart from rotational feeding (Measey & Herrel, 2006), caecilians also use head movements to tear apart their prey on the surrounding walls of their burrows (Herrel & Measey, 2012). As noted by Wake (1980), the two first vertebrae show relatively little horizontal motion. The multiple head movements observed in caecilians during feeding are then probably also facilitated by an increased mobility of the shorter anterior vertebrae. Moreover, flexible articulations between anterior vertebrae could be important in orienting the head during prey capture.

4.2 | Posterior vertebrae

Mid-body to more posterior vertebrae were shown to be more elongated than anterior ones and bear marked basapophyseal processes. Their greater length also creates longer positional segments. The displacement of longer segments is needed for the internal concertina movements relying on the bending of a
large portion of the vertebral column within the skin and external muscular sheath of the body. The most posterior to terminal vertebrae are thought to have a limited locomotor function compared to mid-body vertebrae (Wake, 1980). In accordance, our results show only a little difference between V60% and V90% vertebrae. Although the most posterior vertebrae may have different functions than the mid-body vertebrae (e.g., the positioning the posterior region during copulation, e.g., Kupfer et al., 2006), the constraints shaping mid-body vertebrae and posterior vertebrae might be similar. Although the basapophysyal processes are rather reduced in the anterior part of the body, their length increases toward the end of the axial skeleton. However, the posteriormost vertebrae lack developed processes (Wake, 1980). Basapophysyal processes are major insertion points of hypaxial musculature and ligaments (Naylor & Nussbaum, 1980). The intercentral ligaments originate from the hypapophysyal keel and insert on the basapophysyal processes of the next vertebrae. Given the retention of amphicoelous vertebrae in caecilians and the absence of a ball-socket interlocking system as observed in procoelous vertebrae, Naylor
and Nussbaum (1980) hypothesized that the pronounced basapophyseal processes associated with the intercentral ligament may function to strengthen and realign the vertebral joints, thus replacing the procoelous ball-and-socket joints observed in other taxa such as burrowing squamates (e.g., Naylor & Nussbaum, 1980; Wendell Williston, 1925). Our results corroborate this hypothesis as an increase in the size of the basapophyseal processes is observed toward the posterior end of the body. Indeed, strong and firmly bounded vertebrae are likely needed to resist the reaction forces exerted onto the posterior anchored end of the body while pushing the head into the substrate.

4.3 Regional shape variation

Overall, our results show a continuum between a well-developed hypapophyseal keel and reduced basapophyseal processes along the anterior part of the body, and reduced hypapophyseal keel and long basapophyseal processes toward the posterior end of the body. As the increased area of these attachment sites is likely related to an increase in the corresponding muscles and ligaments as mentioned above, this suggests that the anterior part of the axial skeleton may provide increased flexibility, whereas the posterior end probably provides strength and stability. Additionally, our results show that the three aquatic species included in our study (Atretochoana eiselti, Typhlonectes compressicauda and T. natans) all have longer, narrower and more slender posterior vertebrae with small cotyles compared to those of more terrestrial species. These adaptations are likely associated with their aquatic lifestyle and may render the posterior end of the body more rigid, thus increasing the efficiency of force transfer from the animal to the fluid.

Far from being homogeneous, the morphological variation observed across the axial skeleton in caecilians points toward a functional heterogeneity across the vertebral column as suggested previously by Renous and Gasc (1989). Our results may also explain the unexpected findings of Woltering et al. (2009) who found evidence of the differential expression of Hox genes through the vertebral column in caecilians. Although the shape of the anterior vertebrae is likely impacted by the multiple functions they need to perform (orient the head, withstand soil reaction forces, provide muscle insertion points), that of the mid-body and posterior vertebrae is most likely shaped by locomotion and the insertion of locomotor muscles (Wake, 1980). In mammals, morphological variation is often related to ecological specialization (Jones et al., 2020). As some caecilians are aquatic, some are surface dwellers, while others are active burrowers (Taylor, 1968), a more complete understanding of the ecology of each species could allow for the identification of morphologically specialized patterns along
the axial skeleton. However, our preliminary results show no obvious difference in heterogeneity between species belonging to different ecologies or families. Our results provide a first qualitative and quantitative description of vertebral shape across a broad range of caecilians. The inclusion of additional variables, such as ecological parameters, or functional traits (i.e., burrowing forces or muscle cross-sectional areas), could provide a deeper understanding of the factors that have driven variation in vertebral shape in caecilians. Additionally, although the caecilian fossil record is poor, it consists mostly of isolated vertebrae (e.g., Estes & Wake, 1972; Evans & Sigogneau-Russel, 2001; Rage, 1986). Consequently, our study may serve as a reference to allocate vertebrae to body regions and to a certain degree, species.

5 | CONCLUSION

Far from morphologically homogeneous, the post-cranial skeleton of caecilians shows variations in vertebral shape. Whereas the anterior part of the body consists of short, bulky vertebrae, posterior vertebrae is elongated with pronounced basapophyseal processes. The inclusion of ecological and functional traits could shed lights on the constraints that shaped the axial skeleton in caecilians.
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